



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/762,619 | 02/09/2001 | Tom L. Young | 10522/38 | 6551 |

7590 06/08/2004
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Liene
PO Box 10395
Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER

LITHGOW, THOMAS M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1724

DATE MAILED 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 09/762,619 | 02/09/2001 | Young | 10522/38 |

| EXAMINER | |
|----------|--------------|
| Lithgow | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1724 | 05262004 |

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ex. Lithgow (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Zayia (4) _____
 Date of Interview 05/26/2004

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached, ☐ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, 10

Identification of prior art discussed: Harris (2120217)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asserts Harris's oil is ~~not~~ one ingredient in a emulsified reagent which is a combination of a "surfactant" and an oil. Applicant may file a declaration to support his position of claim 1 defining over Harris '217. Claim 1, if amended to include claims 8 and 10 would be allowable ~~even~~ including the non-elected embodiments.
 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Thomas M. Lithgow

THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PATENT EXAMINER